IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
Kareen M. Mazyck,
Kareen M. Mazyck, Plaintiff, No. 2:22-CV-01182 VS.
George M. Little, Secretary;) JURY TRZAL DEMANDED
George M. Little, Secretary;) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED C/ONeil Defendants)
AMENDED COMPLAZNT 110V 0.7 2022
I JURISDICTION AND VENUE GLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
1. This Court has jurisdiction over these GERSHIPS WAR SUDIT
to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331
2. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 42 U.S.C. Section
2. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000 cc-1
3. Venue is appropriate in the Districts Western Regio
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b) because the
State Correctional Institution (Hereinatter, "SCZ") at
Occurred, is located in the Foyetto County section of
Pennsylvania. See 28 U.S.C. 9118.
T PAD-TES
II PARTIES
H. Plaintiff, Karcem M. Hazyek was at all times
the care custody and control of the Pennsylvania Department
of Corrections (Hereinofter, "DOC") It sixtheyether

- 5. Defendant Greenge M. Little at all times relevant to the complaint was lis the Secretary of the DOC and is responsible for the creation of policies, procedures, Rules, Ethics, care, custody and control within the DOC and he is being sued in this Official Capacity for Injunction reasons and individually and can be Jocated of 1920 Technology Parkway Mechanicsburg, PA 17050.
- 6. Defendant Neil is/Was a Correctional one at all times, relevant to the Complaint and is responsible for the care, custody and control of Inmate's @ SCI-Fayette's STGMU and is being sued in his individual Capacity and can be Jocated at 50 OverJook Drive, Labelle, PA 15430.
- 7. All the Defendants have acted, and continue to act under color of state law at all times relevant to this complainto

III FACTS - COUNT 1 RLUZPA

- 8. On May 19, 1998 Plaintiff took his shahada Le. a attestation that there is no God but ALLAH and Muhammad is his Prophet) this public profession was made in Fishskill, New York at Down State Correctional Facility.
- 9. The Plaintiff Sincerely believes it is impermissible for him to expose his award to another man because Prophet Muhammad said, "Illet not a man look at another man's award or nakedness."

10. Plaintiff Signed up for the Shower on the 2-10
Shift and upon Defendant Neil Coming to his cell door
on June 18, 2022 he explained that 35 Muslim Make
he must cover himself from his Navel to his knee cap the
boundaries of the awahl

the Middle East so you either come but in your boxers With your fowel dround your shoulders or you're burnt!"

12. Defendant Neil then Came to Plaintiff's cell with a John Doe 40-1 conducted the Strip search as Plaintiff pleaded With him that there's a homosexual male on the pod inwhich he asked: "Who?" Whereas Plaintiff responded "I don't Want to Start anything by putting the person name outo" and Defendant Neil said: "Don't Worry. I'm Sure the guy seen other men before, now if you don't cuff up now you're not getting a shower?" Plaintiff asked "Why does transpender Inmates get to Wear a T-shirt?" Defendant Neil Said: "Cawe they have a right to, it you want to wear a T-shirt be be franspender. Now lets GOD"

13. Defendant Little, as the Official policy maker, has implemented state wide polices, regularly all impates to be searched and stripped naked before leaving out of a cell in all 1-5 housing units in the DOC according to 6.5.1.

	14. P	aintiff 4	Jas 80 e	notionally o	listressed	he ask	ed .
-	Defen	laintiff who land no body	Could h	e speak =	to a psy	chologist	but
	las 1	told nobody	was her	e to spe	ak With	had.	
1	7	· 1				l	

3. The DOC receives federal funding for religious groups and SCI-fagethe is a facility/xostifution/Within the DOC affect receives portions of those federal funds.

16. Plaintiff Complies With the Strip Search procedures to Jeove his cell for Yard, thirty day review and medical visits. and is fully clothed upon feature the cell 1.e. underwear (T-Shirt, Boxers and Socks) jumps with and cross or state.

1550 ed boots.

17. Defendant little's policy and procedure serves no legit mate penological interest by forcing plaintiff to come out of his ceil in a pair of underwear 1.e. (Boxers) With only a fowel around his neck to attend showers then do the same upon return in Wet White see through boxers.

COUNT IT EQUAL PROTECTION

18. Plaintiff repeats and reasserts paragraphs 8-17 as though fully set forth herein.

•	
	19 Della Colad Lille a Colador
	19. Defendants Neil and Little enforced a procedure on Plaintiff because he was not transgender Ultimately
:	making him on to the shower revealing his lawrah When
	Making him go to the shower revealing hi Jawrah When I other I to housing unit ingrates that are identified as transgender con cover up.
-• }	Fransgender con lover up.
	and the first property and the second
	20. Defendant Neil Knew his setions of forcing Haintiff
	to either come out in underwear, showershoes and a towel
	Dround his neck was done matriously and had nothing
-	to do With Security because he excerts similarly situated
÷.,	prisoners that identify as transgender to the Shower With a f-shirt @ SII-fayether
÷,	with a -j-shift to oge to jetter
د فس	21. Defendant Little knows that Plaintiff is no more a
	threat than a person Who identifies as transgender
.7	and his practice or proceduce of strip search before Jeaning
نہ۔	2 1-5 Housing Unit cell allows a direct check on any
5	Security mother before being handcuffed behind the back
: == :	Security mother before being handcuffed behind the back through 6 ceil door slots
	22. Defendant little intentionally deprive Plaintiff of access to the showers in a 1-5 Housing unit Without infringing upon his religious beliefs as a Husling.
	La. Defendant Little Intentionally deprive plaintitt
	Without infringing upon his religious heliefs as
	Huslim (
	$oldsymbol{v}$
_<	23. Defendant Little is responsible for the creation
	of the 6.5.1. policy that advocates a direct
تات	23. Defendant Little is responsible for the creation of the 6-5.1. policy that advocates a direct discrimination between Plaintiff and a transgender.
	i de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la c

24. The Restricted Housing Voit (RHU) is the Level Five Housing unit and the STGMU just like the SRTMU, BMU and JMU are program based L=5 units with no more or less dangerous people than the next, but all are at male institutions. Where Transgender impates are housed as well.

COUNT TIL FIRST AMENDMENT
25. Plaintiff repeats and reasserts pagagraphs

8-17 and 19-24 as though fully set forth herein.

26. Plaintiff has explained his faith to Defendants formally and informally as a muslim but the enforcement of apolicy that doesn't further an important government interest when it only targets non-transpender impates but doesn't address non-binary etc.

27. Defendant Little's 6.5 I doesn't allow Maintiff
to practice his faith by not exposing his awrah in front
of Woman, and other inmates but forces him not to
receive the same access to three (3) Showers a week
like any other L-5 housing inmate that's not a
Stability Code "D".

28. The ban on non-transgender inmates suchas Plaintiff from Wearing & IT-shirt to the shower deprives Plaintiff of full Cleanliness despite not interfering With regular operation of the Doc.

29. Plaintiff has only been intermed by Defendant Neil's supervisors is the past if heidentifies as transgender he'll be allowed his T-shirt.

30. Defendant Little Knows that it he allowed Plaintiff and other Musling inmates to wear a f-shirt to the Shower With fowel draped from underwear line to cover Knees Wouldn't require More Security Le Staff or need funding to implement such sinks the DOC's Level. Five Housing Units already accommodate transgender impates.

31. Plaintiff attempted to resolve this matter through the inmate grievance system but received unfavorable responses lat each stage.

TV. CLAZMS FOR RELIEF 32. Plaintiff repeats and reasserts paragraphs 8-31 as though fully set forth herein.

33. The actions of Defendants Little and Neil in Substantially burdening Plaintiff's Religious Exercise by Imposing the Most destrictive Way be could attend showers ih exposing his nokedness violated 42 U.S. C.A. & 2000 ci-100 Jof RLUIPA When other ingotes was being accommodated with T-shirts to attend Showers

34. The autions of Defendants Neil and Little of intentionally denying him of access to a 1-5 Shower. With a 4-shirt because he was not transgender. Nielated the Class of One theory of the Equal Protection. Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

35. The actions of Defendants Little and Neil in Substantially burdening his sincere beliefs as a muslim was done against the Plaintiff When he's forced to attend showers in his boxers with just a fowel pround his neck to act in a way that Wolates his religious beliefs which constituted prohibiting the Free Exercise of Religion in Violation of the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant the Following relief:

A. Issue A DECLATORY JUDGMENT Stating that:
I the restrictive denial of not allowing Plaintiff to
Wear his T-shirt With a fower covering his awrah
Violated his Sincere beliefs as a musling under the
RLUIPA Laws

2. Defendants Little and Neil's actions in discriminating on Plaintiff because he was not transgender and exposing his nakedness violated the Equal Protection Clause.

	B. Award Compensatory Dangages in the amount of:
- 4	1. \$ 10,000.00 jointly and Severally against Defendant Little and Neil Intertional discrimination on Plaintiff
 	2. \$10,000.00 \lontity and Severally against
	2. \$\frac{4}{10,000.00 forthy and Severally against Defendants Little and Neil For Gnowingly hindering Plaintiff's free Exercise of his Religions.
	C. Award Puntive Damages In the following Amounts:
- 	1 \$ 15,000.00 jointly and Severally against Defendants Little and Nell
	And the contract and th
8088° 14 . 1	D. Trial by Jury on all Issues Frable
	E. Grant Plaintiff attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 u.s.c. & 1988(b)
	•
 -	F. Award any other Pelief This Court deems Just and Fair.
0	ate: November 2, 2022 Kareem M. Maryer NW3714
	Kareem H. Hazyek NW3714 SCZ-Fayette So Over Jook Drive
	9 Labelle, PA 15450

	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	I, Koreen M. Mazyck pro se do hereby certify
	I, Kareen M. Mazyck pro se do hereby certify that a true and correct copy and original Motion for Jeave to file Amended Complaint With Amended
	for Jesue to File Amended Complaint With Amended
٠.	Complaint was served VID FIRST Class Mail of
	depositing such in SCI-Fayette L-B fod Mandbox
	depositing such in SCI-Fayette L-B pod Mandbox on 662 Shift this 2nd day of November 2022
-	to:
	Mathew C Gill (COPY) CLERK'S OFFICE
	Deputy Attorney General United States District Court
	Offert of Attorney General 700 Grant Street, RM 3110.
	Litigation Seatish Pettsburgh, PA 15219
	1521 Water Front Place (ORIGINAL)
_	Mezzanine Level
,	Pittsburgh, PA 15222
*	Respectfully Submitted
-	Lawren (1) Has
	SCI-Fayette
	50 Overjook Drive
	Labelle, PA 15450